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Abstract

We study examples of chiral four-dimensional IIB orientifolds with Scherk-Schwarz supersymmetry breaking, based on freely acting orbifolds. We construct a new $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ model, containing only $D9$-branes, and rederive from a more geometric perspective the known $\mathbb{Z}_6' \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ model, containing $D9$, $D5$ and $\bar{D}5$ branes. The cancellation of anomalies in these models is then studied locally in the internal space. These are found to cancel through an interesting generalization of the Green-Schwarz mechanism involving twisted Ramond-Ramond axions and four-forms. The effect of the latter amounts to local counterterms from a low-energy effective field theory point of view.
1 Introduction

Supersymmetry (SUSY) is certainly one of the key ideas to understand how to embed the Standard Model (SM) into a more fundamental microscopic theory. It provides, among other things, an elegant solution to the hierarchy problem (stabilizing the electro-weak scale) if broken at a sufficiently low scale $M_{\text{susy}} \sim \text{TeV}$. In string theory, SUSY plays an even more important role and represents apparently a crucial ingredient in defining absolutely consistent models. In fact, the construction of truly stable non-SUSY string vacua is tremendously hard and no such model has been found so far. Waiting for new principles or breakthroughs that hopefully will shed light on this fundamental problem, it is nevertheless very important to explore the structure and main properties of SUSY breaking in string theory.

One of the most interesting and promising mechanisms of symmetry breaking in theories with compact extra-dimensions, such as string theory, is the so-called Scherk-Schwarz (SS) symmetry breaking mechanism [1], which consists in suitably twisting the periodicity conditions of each field along some compact directions. In this way, one obtains a non-local, perturbative and calculable symmetry breaking mechanism. String models of this type can be constructed by deforming supersymmetric orbifold [2] models, and a variety of four-dimensional (4D) closed string models, mainly based on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifolds, have been constructed in this way [3]. More in general, SS symmetry breaking can be achieved through freely-acting orbifold projections [4]. This fact has been recently exploited in [5] to construct a novel class of closed string examples, including a model based on the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ orbifold. Unfortunately, a low compactification scale is quite unnatural for closed string models, where the fundamental string scale $M_s$ is tied to the Plank scale, and can be achieved only in very specific situations [6] (see also [7]). The situation is different for open strings, where $M_s$ can be very low [8], and interesting open string models with SS SUSY breaking have been derived in [9, 10, 11]. Recently, the SS mechanism has been object of renewed interest also from a more phenomenological “bottom-up” viewpoint, where it has been used in combination with orbifold projections to construct realistic 5D non-SUSY extensions of the SM [12, 13].

The main aim of this paper is to exploit the general ideas proposed in [5] to construct chiral IIB compact orientifold models with SS supersymmetry breaking. We derive a new $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ orientifold by applying a freely-acting $\mathbb{Z}_3'$ projection defined as an order-three translation and a non-SUSY twist to the known SUSY $\mathbb{Z}_3$ orientifold [14, 15]. The model turns out to be chiral and extremely simple, since only $D9$-branes are present. It exhibits SS SUSY breaking in both the closed and open string sectors. All the gaugini are massive, but there is an anomalous spectrum of massless chargini. The model is classically stable, since all massless Neveu-Schwarz-Neveu-Schwarz (NSNS) and Ramond-Ramond (RR) tadpoles vanish and potential tachyons
can be avoided by taking a sufficiently large volume for the SS torus, \textit{i.e.} the torus where the translation acts. We also rederive from a more geometrical perspective the $Z_6' \times Z_2'$ model of [10] (see also [16]), by applying to the SUSY $Z_6'$ model of [15] a freely-acting $Z_2'$ projection generated by an order-two translation along a circle combined with a $(-)^F$ operation, where $F$ is the 4D space-time fermion number operator. We discuss then in some detail its rich structure involving $D9$, $D5$ and $\bar{D}5$ branes.

An other important goal of this work is to perform a detailed study of \textit{local} anomaly cancellation (\textit{i.e.} point-by-point in the compact space) for this kind of models. This study is motivated by the results of [17] where it has been pointed out that orbifold field theories can have anomalies localized at fixed-points that vanish when integrated over the internal space, and originate from loops of heavy Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes. To this aim, we will extend the approach that has been followed in [18] for 4D SUSY orientifolds to distinguish between different points in the internal space. We find that all anomalies cancel locally, thanks to an interesting Green-Schwarz (GS) mechanism [19] involving twisted RR axions belonging to 4D sectors localized at fixed-points, as found in [20, 18], but also 4-forms coming from 6D sectors localized at fixed-planes. The latter effect arises whenever RR tadpoles are cancelled globally but not locally\footnote{The global cancellation of RR tadpoles ensures only the global cancellation of cubic irreducible anomalies; see e.g. [21].}, and involves only heavy KK modes of the 4-forms. In non-compact string vacua, like intersecting branes, this kind of effect is already included in the usual anomaly inflow of [22]. Global irreducible anomalies can in this case arise, since there is no constraint on the global RR flux, and are cancelled thanks to RR forms propagating in more than 4D. This shows once again the very close relation between the GS mechanism and the anomaly inflow of [23], even for irreducible terms.

Our results reveal an important distinction between anomalies appearing through a 6-form in the anomaly polynomial and anomalies appearing through the product of a 2-form and a 4-form. In the former case, the GS mechanism is mediated by twisted RR 4-forms and the corresponding symmetry is linearly realized. In the latter, instead, anomalies are cancelled by a GS mechanism mediated by twisted RR axions, and the symmetry is only non-linearly realized. When applied to a $U(1)$ factor with an anomaly that is globally but not locally vanishing, these two situations lead respectively to a massless and massive 4D photon\footnote{As in the standard case [24], a pseudo-anomalous photon can become massive by eating an axion through a Higgs mechanism.}. This leads to the important conclusion that the number of spontaneously broken $U(1)$ gauge factors is in general \textit{greater} than what expected from a global analysis of anomalies. This fact, that has not been appreciated so far in the literature, could have an important impact in the context of open string phenomenology. The difference between the two mechanisms involving axions and 4-forms is particularly striking from a 4D low-energy effective...
field theory point of view, where heavy KK modes are integrated out. The axions remain dynamical, but the 4-forms must be integrated out, and we will show that their net effect amounts then to a local 6D Chern-Simons counterterm with a discontinuous coefficient, jumping at the fixed-points, that thus occurs in a way that is manifestly compatible with local supersymmetry and falls in the category of terms discussed in [25] (see also [26]). This realizes a 6D version of the possibility of cancelling globally vanishing anomalies through a dynamically generated Chern-Simons term [17]. It also confirms in a string context that operators that are odd under the orbifold projection can and do in general occur in the 4D effective theory with odd coefficients, as emphasized in [27].

The paper is organized as follows. In section two we rederive the model presented in [10] as a $Z'_6 \times Z'_2$ orientifold, emphasizing geometrical aspects. In section three, the novel $Z_3 \times Z'_3$ model is constructed and described in some detail. Section four is devoted to the study of local anomaly cancellation, and contains both a general discussion and a detailed analysis for the two models at hand. In section five we state our conclusions. Finally, some more details concerning lattice sums and anomalous couplings are reported in two appendices.

2 The $Z'_6 \times Z'_2$ model

The $Z'_6 \times Z'_2$ orientifold of [10] is obtained by applying a SUSY-breaking $Z'_2$ projection to the SUSY $Z'_6$ model of [15]. The $Z'_6$ group is generated by $\theta$, acting as rotations of angles $2\pi v^i_\theta$ in the three internal tori $T^2_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), with $v^i_\theta = 1/6(1, -3, 2)$. The $Z'_2$ group is instead generated by $\beta$, acting as a translation of length $\pi R$ along one of the radii of $T^2_2$ (that we shall call SS direction in the following), combined with a sign $(-)^F$, where $F$ is the 4D space-time fermion number. Beside the $O9$-plane, the model contains $O5$-planes at $y = 0$ and $y = \pi R$ along the SS direction (as the corresponding SUSY model [15]) and $\bar{O}5$-planes at $y = \pi R/2$ and $y = 3\pi R/2$ along the SS direction (see Figs. 1 and 2), corresponding to the two order 2 elements $\theta^3$ and $\theta^3 \beta$. In order to cancel both NSNS and RR massless tadpoles, $D9$, $D5$ and $\bar{D}5$-branes must be introduced.

2.1 Closed string spectrum

The main features of the closed string spectrum of the $Z'_6 \times Z'_2$ model can be deduced from those of the $Z'_6$ model, that can be found in [15]. The only SUSY-breaking generators are $\beta$, $\theta^2 \beta$ and $\theta^4 \beta$; all the other elements preserve some SUSY (generically different from sector to sector). The $Z'_2$ projections acts therefore in a SUSY-breaking way in the untwisted and $\theta^{2,4}$-twisted sectors, and in a SUSY-preserving way in the
Figure 1: The fixed-points structure in the $\mathbb{Z}_6' \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ model. We label the 12 $\theta$-fixed points with $P_{1bc}$ and the 12 $\theta\beta$-fixed points with $P_{1bc'}$, each index referring to a $T^2$, ordered as in the figure. Similarly, we denote with $P_{a\bullet c}$ the 9 $\theta^2$-fixed planes filling the second $T^2$, and respectively with $P_{a\bullet b\bullet}$ and $P_{a\bullet b\bullet'}$ the 16 $\theta^3$ and $\theta\beta$-fixed planes filling the third $T^2$. The 32 D5-branes and the 32 $\bar{D}$5-branes are located at the point 1 in the first $T^2$, fill the third $T^2$, and sit at the points 1 and 1' respectively in the second $T^2$.

remaining $\theta^{1,5}$ and $\theta^3$-twisted sectors of the $\mathbb{Z}_6'$ model. In addition, one must consider the new $\theta^k\beta$-twisted sectors.

Consider first the $\theta^k$ sectors already present in the $\mathbb{Z}_6'$ model. In the untwisted sector, one gets a gravitational multiplet and 5 chiral multiplets of $\text{N}=1$ SUSY, and the $\mathbb{Z}_2'$ projection eliminates all the fermions. In the $\theta^2,4$-twisted sectors, one gets 9 hypermultiplets of $\text{N}=2$ SUSY, and the $\mathbb{Z}_2'$ projection again eliminates all the fermions. Finally, the $\theta^{1,5}$ and $\theta^3$-twisted sectors give each 12 chiral multiplets of $\text{N}=1$ SUSY, and the $\mathbb{Z}_2'$ action reduces this number to 6, since it identifies sectors at fixed-points that differ by a $\pi R$ shift in the position along the SS direction.

Consider next the new $\theta^k\beta$ sectors emerging in the $\mathbb{Z}_6' \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ model. The $\beta$-twisted sector yields one real would-be tachyon of mass $\alpha'm^2 = -2 + R^2/(2\alpha')$. Similarly, the $\theta^2\beta$ sectors yield 6 complex would be tachyons of mass $\alpha'm^2 = -2/3 + R^2/(2\alpha')^3$. Finally, the $\theta^{1,5}\beta$-twisted and $\theta^3\beta$-twisted sectors give each 6 chiral multiplets of $\text{N}=1'$ SUSY, which have opposite chirality and a different unbroken SUSY compared to those arising in the $\theta^{1,5}$ and $\theta^3$-sectors, because $\beta$ changes the GSO projection due to the $(−1)^F$ operation that it involves.

The closed string spectrum that we have just derived is summarized for convenience in Table 1.

### 2.2 Tadpole cancellation

As mentioned above, the $\Omega$-projection in the closed string sector introduces $O9$, $O5$, $\bar{O}5$ planes and hence a non-vanishing number $n_9$, $n_5$ and $n_{5'}$ of $D9$, $D5$ and $\bar{D}5$ branes is needed to cancel all massless tadpoles.

The computation of the partition functions on the annulus ($A$), M"obius strip ($M$)

\[\text{These are clearly the lightest would-be tachyons in both the } \beta \text{ and } \theta^2\beta \text{ twisted sectors, but one should remind that there is actually an infinite tower of such states, with increasing winding mode.} \]
and Klein bottle ($K$) surfaces and the extraction of the tadpoles is standard, although lengthy, and we do not report all the details. The world-sheet parity operator $\Omega$ is defined in such a way that $\Omega \Phi(\sigma) \Omega^{-1} = \Phi(2\pi - \sigma)$ for a generic world-sheet field $\Phi(\sigma)$, and its action on the RR and NSNS vacua is given by $\Omega \vert 0 \rangle_{NSNS} = - \vert 0 \rangle_{NSNS}$ and $\Omega \vert 0 \rangle_{RR} = - \vert 0 \rangle_{RR}$ in the closed string sector and by $\Omega \vert 0 \rangle_{NS} = -i \vert 0 \rangle_{NS}$ and $\Omega \vert 0 \rangle_{R} = - \vert 0 \rangle_{R}$ in the open string sector. The modular parameter for the $A$, $M$ and $K$ surfaces is taken to be $t_A = it$, $t_M = it - 1/2$ and $t_K = 2it$. The modular transformation needed to switch from direct to transverse channel is simply $S$: $\tau \rightarrow - 1/\tau$ for the $A$ and $K$ surfaces. For the $M$ surface, the appropriate transformation is instead $P = TST^2ST$, where $T : \tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$. The corresponding modular parameters in the transverse channel are $l_A = 1/(2t)$, $l_M = 1/(8t)$ and $l_K = 1/(4t)$.

The only novelty with respect to the $Z'_6$ model are the non-SUSY sectors, that arise when the $Z'_2$ generator $\beta$ enters as twist or insertion in the trace defining the partition function. The corresponding contributions to the partition functions can be easily deduced from their analogues in the $Z'_6$ model. In the $K$ amplitude, due to the presence of $\Omega$, the insertion of $\beta$ acts only in the lattice contribution, as reported in eq. (41). As a twist, $\beta$ inverts the GSO projection and acts in the lattice. This implies that the $\beta$-twisted contribution, after the $S$ modular transformation, will be the same as the SUSY untwisted sector contribution, but proportional to $(1_{NSNS} + 1_{RR})$ instead of the usual $(1_{NSNS} - 1_{RR})$ and with some terms dropped due to the vanishing of the lattice contribution as in (41). This represents a non-vanishing tadpole for the untwisted RR six-form, and reflects the presence of $\bar{O}$5-planes (beside O5-planes) in this model. On the $A$ and $M$ surfaces, the insertion of $\beta$ acts in the lattices as discussed in Appendix A. Aside that, it simply reverts the R contribution to the partition function. This simple sign flip has, however, different consequences in the two surfaces when analyzing the closed string channel, because of the two different modular transformations ($S$ and $P$) that are involved. For the $M$ amplitude, the result is obtained from its SUSY analogue by replacing the factor $(1_{NSNS} - 1_{RR})$ with $(1_{NSNS} + 1_{RR})$, and has a clear interpretation as $D$-branes/$\bar{O}$-planes and $\bar{D}$-branes/$O$-planes interactions. For the $A$ amplitude, the action of $\beta$ in the closed string channel reverses the GSO projection and, depending on which $Z'_6$ generator is inserted (and which boundary conditions are considered), this can lead to would-be tachyon exchange.

The group action on the Chan-Paton degrees of freedom is encoded in the twist matrices $\gamma$ and $\delta$, respectively for the $Z'_6$ and $Z'_2$ generators. The group algebra, as usual, allows to write the Chan-Paton contribution of a $M$ amplitude with the insertion of $\theta^n \beta^m$ as $\pm \text{Tr}(\gamma^n \delta^m)^2$, the sign freedom being fixed by tadpole cancellation and by the relative action of $\Omega$ on 5 and 9 branes, as studied by Gimon and Polchinski (see for example [28, 15]). Tadpole cancellation and the $\Omega$ action fix $\gamma^6 = - I$ in the
9, 5 and $\bar{5}$ sector, as in [15], and $\delta^2 = -I$ in the 5 and $\bar{5}$ sectors, with the further condition \{\gamma, \delta\} = 0. We also impose $\delta^2 = I$ in the 9 sector; the case $\delta^2 = -I$ will be considered later on.

To be fully general, we will use an extra index for the twist matrices $\gamma$ in the 5 and $\bar{5}$ sectors, that distinguishes between distinct $\theta^k$-fixed points (or fixed-planes). Similarly, an other extra index is needed also for the matrices $\gamma \delta$ in the 5 and $\bar{5}$ sectors, running over the $\theta^k \beta$-fixed points.

The final form of the massless tadpoles is most conveniently presented by distinguishing the two closed string sectors with a sign $\eta$ equal to +1 for the NSNS sector and −1 for the RR sector. The result is given by $v_4/12 \int dl$ times

$$I : \frac{v_1 v_2 v_3}{8} \eta \left(2^5 - n_9\right)^2 + \frac{v_3}{8v_1 v_2} \eta \left[4^6 \delta_{\eta,1} - n_5 - \eta n_5\right]^2,$$  

(1)

$$\theta : \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \sum_{c=1}^{3} \sum_{b=1}^{4} \eta \left[2^{-1} \text{Tr} \gamma_9 - \text{Tr} \gamma_{5b} - \eta \text{Tr} \gamma_{\bar{5}b}\right]^2,$$  

(2)

$$\theta \beta : \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \sum_{c=1}^{3} \sum_{b=1}^{4} \eta \left[2^{-1} \text{Tr} \gamma_9 \delta_9 - \eta \text{Tr} \gamma_{5b} \delta_5 - \text{Tr} \gamma_{\bar{5}b} \delta_{\bar{5}}\right]^2,$$  

(3)

$$\theta^2 : \frac{1}{4v_2} \sum_{a,c=1}^{3} \eta \left[2^4 \delta_{a,1} \delta_{\eta,1} + \text{Tr} \gamma_{5ac}^2 + \eta \text{Tr} \gamma_{5ac}^2\right]^2 + \frac{v_2}{12} \sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \eta \left[2^3 + \text{Tr} \gamma_{\bar{5}}^2\right]^2,$$  

(4)

$$\theta^3 : v_3 \sum_{a',b=1}^{4} \eta \left[2^{-2} \text{Tr} \gamma_{\bar{5}}^3 + \text{Tr} \gamma_{5b}^3 + \eta \text{Tr} \gamma_{5b}^3\right]^2,$$  

(5)

$$\theta^3 \beta : v_3 \sum_{a',b'=1}^{4} \eta \left[2^{-2} \text{Tr} \gamma_{\bar{5}}^3 \delta_9 + \eta \text{Tr} \gamma_{5b^1}^3 \delta_5 + \text{Tr} \gamma_{5b^1}^3 \delta_{\bar{5}}\right]^2,$$  

(6)

where we denoted with $\theta^n \beta^m$ the tadpole contribution of the $\theta^n \beta^m$-twisted closed string states, summed over the various fixed-points/planes; for convenience we have taken the sums in eqs. (2), (3), (5) and (6) to run over closed string twisted states and their images under some orbifold elements. Moreover, $v_4 = V_4/(4\pi^2 \alpha')$, $v_i = V_i/(4\pi^2 \alpha')$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), with $V_4$ being the volume of the four-dimensional space-time and $V_i$ the volume of the $T^2_i$.

The NSNS and RR tadpoles differ mainly through relative signs between the contribution from $D5$ and $\bar{D5}$ branes. In addition, there are crosscap contributions to the NSNS tadpoles in the $I$ and $\theta^2$ sectors that have no analogue in the RR sector (the terms involving $\delta_{a,1}$).

We also report the lightest massive NSNS tadpoles, where would-be tachyons can develop:

$$\beta : \frac{v_1 v_2 v_3}{64} q^{-\frac{1}{3}} \hat{A} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\text{Tr} \delta_9\right]^2,$$  

(7)

$$\theta^2 \beta : \frac{v_2}{24} q^{-\frac{1}{6}} \hat{A} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \left[\text{Tr} \gamma_{\bar{5}}^2 \delta_9\right]^2.$$

(8)
2.3 Open string spectrum

We now turn to the determination of a solution for the Chan-Paton matrices satisfying the above conditions for the global cancellation of massless tadpoles, eqs. (1)–(6). For simplicity, we consider the case of maximal unbroken gauge symmetry where all $D5$ and $\bar{D}5$ branes are located respectively at $P_{11\bullet}$ and $P_{11\prime\bullet}$ (see Fig. 1 and its caption). The $\mathbb{Z}_2'$ projection requires then that an equal number of image branes are located respectively at $P_{12\bullet}$ and $P_{12'\bullet}$. We do not consider the case in which branes and anti-branes coincide also along the SS direction because this configuration is unstable even classically, due to the presence of open string tachyons. On the other hand, fixing the branes at antipodal points along the SS direction allows a metastable configuration without open string tachyons for sufficiently large SS radius.

The untwisted tadpoles imply $n_9 = n_5 = n_{\bar{5}} = 32$, whereas a definite solution of the twisted tadpoles is given by

\[
\gamma_9 = \gamma_5 = \gamma_{\bar{5}} \delta_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{16} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_{16} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \delta_9 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{16} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{16} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_5 = \delta_{\bar{5}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{16} \\ -I_{16} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where ($\phi = \exp(i\pi/6)$):

\[
\gamma_{16} = \text{diag}\{\phi I_2, \phi^5 I_2, \phi^3 I_4, \bar{\phi} I_2, \bar{\phi}^5 I_2, \bar{\phi}^3 I_4\}.
\]

It is easy to verify that with such a choice all massless tadpoles cancel (although (7) and (8) do not vanish). Notice that the above choice for $\gamma_{9,5}$ coincides with that of
The structure of the twist matrices given in (9) and (10) reflects our choice for brane positions; in particular, the matrix $\delta$ implements the translation $\beta$ in the Chan-Paton degrees of freedom. Hence, as far as the massless spectrum is concerned, we can effectively restrict our attention to the 16 branes and anti-branes at $P_{111}$ and $P_{111}'$ respectively, and work with $16 \times 16$ Chan-Paton matrices.

The massless open string spectrum can now be easily derived, and is summarized in Table 2. In the 99 sector, the bosonic spectrum is unaffected by the $\mathbb{Z}_2'$ element and therefore coincides with that of the $\mathbb{Z}_6'$ orbifold$^4$; all fermions (both gaugini and chargini) are instead massive. The 55 and 55 sectors are supersymmetric at the massless level, but with respect to different supersymmetries: N=1 and N=1$'$. The 55 and 55 gauge groups $G_5$ and $G_5$ are reduced due to the non-trivial action of the translation in these sectors, and the corresponding states are in conjugate representations. A similar reasoning also applies for the 95 and 95 sectors. Finally, the 55 sector does not contain massless states, due to the separations between $D5$-branes and $\bar{D5}$-branes. There are massive scalars and fermions in the bi-fundamental of $G_5 \times G_5$, and charged would-be tachyons of mass $\alpha' m^2 = -1/2 + R^2/(16\alpha')$.

Notice that the above solution of the tadpole cancellation conditions is not unique. In fact, another interesting and more symmetric solution is obtained by choosing $\delta_9$ of the same form as $\delta_5 = \delta_5$ in (10). This solution is not maximal in the sense that the resulting $G_9$ gauge group is reduced and equal to $G_9 = G_5 \times G_5 = U(4)^2 \times U(2)^4$. However, it has the nice feature that now also the tadpoles (7) and (8) do vanish. Clearly, there exist further solutions that we do not report here. Notice for instance that a non-vanishing twist matrix $\delta$ in the 9 sector can be considered as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Wilson line along the SS radius. Since $\delta$ implements a SS gauge symmetry breaking, this reflects the close interplay between Wilson line symmetry breaking [29] and SS gauge symmetry breaking.

Let us now comment on the brane content of this orbifold. From the tadpoles, we learn that there is no local $\mathbb{Z}_6$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-twisted RR charge at all in the model ($\text{Tr} \gamma = \text{Tr} \gamma^3 = 0$), but there is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-charge, since $\text{Tr} \gamma^2 \neq 0$, that globally cancels between $D9$ and $O9$-planes, and $D5$, $\bar{D5}$, $O5$ and $\bar{O5}$-planes. On a $\mathbb{Z}_6$ orbifold, a regular $D$-brane must have 5 images. Since we start with 32 branes, it is clear that the branes in this model can not be all regular. In fact, the presence of a non-vanishing $\mathbb{Z}_3$ RR (and NSNS) charge suggests that fractional $D5$ and $\bar{D5}$ branes are present at $\mathbb{Z}_3$ fixed planes$^5$ of the orbifold. The configuration is then the following. We have 2 regular $D5$ and $\bar{D5}$ branes (and 5 images for each) and 2 fractional $\mathbb{Z}_3$ $D5$ and $\bar{D5}$ branes (and one $\mathbb{Z}_2$ image for each). In our maximal configuration, they are all located

$^4$These are as in [18], but differ slightly from [15] and [16].

$^5$In our case, the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ fixed plane is at the origin. However, seen as $D7$-branes wrapped on vanishing two-cycles [30], these branes wrap only the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ vanishing cycles.
at $P_{11}\ast$ ($D5$) and $P_{11}\ast$ ($\bar{D}5$). Clearly, there are the additional $\mathbb{Z}_2'$ images located at $P_{12}$ and $P_{12}\ast$. Regular branes can move around freely, whereas fractional branes are stuck at the fixed-points. However, one can still shift a fractional brane from one fixed point to another, suggesting that this freedom represents the T-dual of discrete Wilson lines in orbifolds. Notice that also $D9$-branes have $\mathbb{Z}_3$ RR charge. Although it is not appropriate to speak about fractional $D9$-branes, this kind of object represents the T-dual version of the usual lower-dimensional fractional branes. In some sense, they are stuck in the gauge bundle, and do not admit continuous Wilson lines, but only discrete ones.

The $\mathbb{Z}_2'$ twist acts trivially in the gauge-bundle of the $D9$-branes, whereas in the 5 sector it is T-dual to a discrete Wilson line given by the matrix $\delta$. More precisely, the breaking of the gauge group in the 5 and $\bar{5}$ sector is the T-dual version of a Wilson line symmetry breaking. The additional ($-)^F$ action is on the other hand responsible for the $D5 \rightarrow \bar{D}5$ flip for half of the branes.

3 A $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ model

It has been shown in [5] that SS symmetry breaking can be obtained also in $\mathbb{Z}_3$ models through a suitable freely-acting and SUSY-breaking $\mathbb{Z}_3'$ projection. In this section, we will construct a new $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ model, based on this structure, that will prove to be much simpler than the $\mathbb{Z}_6' \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ model.

The $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ orbifold group is defined in the following way [5]. The $\mathbb{Z}_3$ generator $\alpha$ acts as a SUSY-preserving rotation with twist $v_\alpha^i = \frac{1}{3}(1,1,0)$, while the $\mathbb{Z}_3'$ generator $\beta$ acts as a SUSY-breaking rotation with $v_\beta^i = \frac{1}{3}(0,0,2)$ and an order-three diagonal translation $\delta$ in $T^2_1$.

![Figure 3: The fixed-point structure in the $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ model. We label the 9 $\alpha$-fixed planes with $P_{a\ast}$, the 27 $\alpha\beta$-fixed points with $P_{a'b}c$, the 27 $\alpha\beta^2$-fixed points with $P_{a''}b'c$, and the 3 $\beta$-fixed planes with $P_{\ast'\ast}."

3.1 Closed string spectrum

It is convenient to consider first the massless closed string spectrum in the parent Type IIB orbifold, before the $\Omega$ projection. In this case, we get an untwisted sector,
and both SUSY-preserving and SUSY-breaking twisted sectors.

The untwisted sector contains the 4D space-time part of the NSNS spectrum, i.e. the graviton, the axion and the dilaton; furthermore, there are 10 scalars arising from fields with internal indices in the NSNS sector, 12 scalars from the RR sector and 2 spinors for each chirality from the NSR+RNS sectors.

The twists $\alpha$ and $\alpha^2$ act only on two of the three tori, and their action preserve N=2 SUSY in 6D. More precisely, they preserve the supercharges $Q_2^{L,R}$ and $Q_3^{L,R}$ in the 4-dimensional notation of [5]. Each twisted sector contains a 6D N=2 tensor multiplet. The states are located at the $Z_3$-fixed points, and are $Z_3$-invariant, while $Z'_3$ acts exchanging states from one fixed point to the other, so that in the first torus the three $Z_3$-fixed points are identified.

The twists $\alpha \beta$ and $(\alpha \beta)^2$ act instead on all the compact space, preserving two supercharges, $Q_4^{L,R}$. The twisted spectrum contains a 4D N=2 hypermultiplet. In these sectors, the elements $\alpha$ and $\alpha \beta^2$ act by exchanging states from one fixed point to the other in the first torus, so that, as before, there is only one physical fixed point in the first torus. The $\alpha \beta^2$ and $(\alpha \beta^2)^2$ twisted sector can be treated similarly, the only difference being the position of the fixed points and the unbroken supercharges $Q_1^{L,R}$.

The twists $\beta$ and $\beta^2$ are SUSY-breaking, and the corresponding twisted sectors yield each a real would-be tachyon of mass $m^2 = -4/3 + 2T_2/(3\sqrt{3} \alpha')$ (where $T_2$ is the imaginary part of the Kähler structure of the SS torus) and 16 massive RR 16 scalars. These states are $\beta$-invariant and located at $\beta$-fixed points, and again the remaining elements only switch fixed points.

It is now easy to understand the effect of the $\Omega$ projection. In the untwisted sector, $\Omega$ removes the axion, half of the NSNS and RR scalars and half of the fermions. In twisted sectors, $\Omega$ relates $Q^L$ to $Q^R$ and projects away half of the supersymmetries, so that the surviving states fill supermultiplets of N=1 SUSY in 4D or 6D. Furthermore, $\Omega$ relates the twist $\alpha \beta^i$ to $(\alpha \beta^i)^2$, and only half of the corresponding states survives the projection. The spectrum is therefore reduced to 2 hypermultiplets of 6D N=1 SUSY from $\alpha$ twists, for each $\alpha$ fixed point; 1 chiral multiplet of 4D N=1 SUSY from $\alpha \beta$ twists, for each $\alpha \beta$ fixed point, and the same for $\alpha \beta^2$ twists; 1 real would be tachyon and 16 massive scalars from $\beta$ twists. The massless closed string spectrum is summarized in Table 1.

### 3.2 Tadpole cancellation

The computation of the partition functions on the $A$, $M$ and $K$ amplitudes and the extraction of the tadpoles is again standard. The only novelty occurs in the untwisted sector with $\beta^n \ (n = 1, 2)$ inserted in the trace. In these sectors, the
Table 1: Massless closed string spectrum for $\mathbb{Z}_6' \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ models. We used $\theta$ as the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_6'$ ($\mathbb{Z}_3$) and $\beta$ as the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2'$ ($\mathbb{Z}_3$). Hypermultiplets are multiplets of $N=1$ SUSY in 6D, while chiral multiplets are multiplets of $N=1$ SUSY in 4D. The SUSY generators are different in the different sectors as explained in the text. The two spinors in the untwisted sector of $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ have opposite chirality.

oscillator contribution to the partition function is given by

$$\Theta_n(\tau) = \sum_{a,b=0}^{1/2} \frac{\theta^{a^3} (\tau)}{\eta^3 (\tau)} (-2 \sin 2\pi n/3) \theta^{a/2} (\tau),$$

and the corresponding partition function on each surface reads:

$$Z_A^{[1]} = \frac{v_4}{2NN'} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{4t^3} \sum_m e^{2i\pi n(m-1)} \Lambda_1[m] \Lambda_2[m] \Theta_n(i t) (\text{Tr} \, \delta_n)^2,$$

$$Z_M^{[1]} = -\frac{v_4}{8NN'} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{4t^3} \sum_m e^{2i\pi n(m-1)} \Lambda_1[m] \Lambda_2[m] \Theta_n(it - 1/2) \text{Tr} \delta_{2n},$$

$$Z_K^{[1]} = \frac{v_4}{2NN'} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{4t^3} \sum_m e^{2i\pi n(m-1)} \Lambda_1 \left[ \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \Lambda_2 \left[ \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \Theta_{2n}(2it),$$

where $NN'$ is the total order of the group (i.e. 9 in our case) and $\Lambda_i[m]$ is the two dimensional lattice of the $i$-th torus as defined in (31).

The tadpoles for massless closed string modes are easily computed. We skip the explicit form of the usual 10D tadpole, arising in all orientifold models, that fixes to 32 the number of $D9$-branes and requires $\gamma_\Omega^I = \gamma_\Omega$. All other tadpoles are associated to twisted states occurring only at fixed-points or fixed-planes. We list them here using the already introduced notation. We denote the twist matrices associated to the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3'$ actions by $\gamma$ and $\delta$ and we assume $\gamma^3 = \eta_\gamma I$, $\delta^3 = \eta_\delta I$, where $\eta_\gamma, \eta_\delta = \pm 1$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>$\mathbb{Z}_6' \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$</th>
<th>$\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untwisted</td>
<td>1 graviton, 5 scalars</td>
<td>1 graviton, 11 scalars, 1+1 spinors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$ twisted</td>
<td>6 chiral multiplets</td>
<td>6 hypermultiplets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta^2$ twisted</td>
<td>18 scalars</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta^3$ twisted</td>
<td>6 chiral multiplets</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta \beta$ twisted</td>
<td>6 chiral multiplets</td>
<td>9 chiral multiplets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta^3 \beta$ twisted</td>
<td>6 chiral multiplets</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta \beta^2$ twisted</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>9 chiral multiplets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The tadpoles are at the $9 \alpha$-fixed planes, the $27 \alpha\beta$-fixed points and the $27 \alpha\beta^2$-fixed points, and are given by $(1_{NSNS} - 1_{RR})v_4/72 \int dl$ times:

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha : & \frac{v_3}{3} \sum_{a,b} \left[ (8 - \eta \gamma Tr \gamma)^2 + (8 - Tr \gamma^2)^2 \right], \\
\alpha\beta : & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{a',b,c} \left[ (4 + \eta \eta_\delta Tr \gamma \delta)^2 + (4 + Tr \gamma^2 \delta^2)^2 \right], \\
\alpha\beta^2 : & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{a'',b,c} \left[ (4 + \eta \gamma Tr \gamma^2 \delta)^2 + (4 + \eta_\delta Tr \gamma^2 \delta)^2 \right].
\end{align*}
$$

(14)

We wrote explicitly the contributions from $\theta^k$ and $\theta^{N-k}$ sectors, arising from the same physical closed string state.

By taking the transverse channel expressions of the amplitudes (13) through S and P modular transformations, the additional tadpoles for the non-SUSY $\beta$-twisted sectors arising at the 3 fixed-planes $P_{\bullet\bullet}$ can be derived. They yield the following result for the massive would-be tachyonic NSNS states:

$$
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\lambda_1 \left( 2m + \frac{1}{3} \right) (Tr \delta)^2 + \hat{\lambda}_1 \left( 2m - \frac{1}{3} \right) (Tr \delta^2)^2 + \\
\hat{\lambda}_1 \left( 2m - \frac{2}{3} \right) (16 - Tr \delta^2)^2 + \hat{\lambda}_1 \left( 2m + \frac{2}{3} \right) (16 - \eta_\delta Tr \delta)^2
\end{array} \right),
$$

(15)

where we have retained the lattice sum along the SS directions. These tadpoles are associated to massive states for sufficiently large radii along the SS torus, and are therefore irrelevant in that case. They imply that would-be tachyons and massive RR 7-forms are exchanged between $D9$-branes and/or $O9$-planes. Contrarily to the $Z'_6 \times Z'_2$ model, there is no choice for the twist matrix $\delta$ that makes eq. (15) to vanish.

3.3 Open string spectrum

In the following, we take $\eta_\gamma = \eta_\delta = 1$, because all the other choices lead to equivalent theories. It is then easy to see that the twisted tadpoles (14) are cancelled by choosing ($\phi = \exp 2i\pi/3$):

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma & = \text{diag}(I_{16}, \phi I_8, \phi^{-1} I_8); \\
\delta & = \text{diag}(\phi I_4, \phi^{-1} I_4, I_{24}).
\end{align*}
$$

(16)

Notice that the order of the entry in (16) is crucial to cancel the tadpoles; the above choice is such that $\gamma \delta^2 = \gamma_\theta$, where $\gamma_\theta$ is the twist matrix of the 4D N=1 $Z_3$ model constructed in [15].

The massless open string spectrum is easily determined. The maximal gauge group is $SO(8) \times U(8) \times U(4)$. The $U(8) \times U(4)$ factor comes from the $U(12)$ gauge factor of the 6D N=1 $Z_3$ model, which is further broken by the $Z'_3$ projection. As in
the previous model, this can be interpreted as a Wilson line symmetry breaking. In this perspective, $\delta = I$ and $\gamma$ as above, and the tadpoles in (14) are cancelled thanks to a (discrete) Wilson line $W$ equal to $\delta$ along the first torus in (16). Notice that all the gaugini are massive. The spectrum of charged massless states is easily obtained and reported in Table 2.

4 Local anomaly cancellation

Chiral string models have generically an anomalous spectrum of massless states, but it is well known that this does not represent a problem, provided that cubic irreducible anomalies vanish. Reducible $U(1)$ anomalies are instead cancelled through a 4D version of the Green-Schwarz (GS) mechanism [19], and the corresponding $U(1)$ symmetries are spontaneously broken [24]. For Type IIB orientifold models, the absence of irreducible anomalies is ensured by the cancellation of RR tadpoles [21], and the GS mechanism taking care of reducible anomalies is mediated by twisted RR axions [20, 18]. For the models constructed in the previous sections, the same situation occurs.

The above considerations apply to 4D anomalies, that are determined by the massless fields. In theories with compact extra-dimensions, however, also massive KK modes can contribute non-vanishing anomalies, which are localized at the orbifold fixed-points and vanish globally, when integrated over the internal manifold [17]. In fact, global anomaly cancellation is not sufficient to guarantee the consistency of the theory and anomalies must therefore cancel locally, i.e. point-by-point in the internal space. From a 4D effective field theory point of view, this is due to the fact that localized anomalies vanishing only globally lead to effective operators that, although suppressed by the compactification scale, violate 4D gauge invariance. In the light of this observation, we will study below how local anomaly cancellation is achieved in generic orientifold models, with a detailed analysis for the particular models discussed in sections 2 and 3. Interestingly, this will also allow us to clarify a point that has been left partially unsolved in [18], concerning the factorization of anomalous couplings in twisted-sectors with fixed-planes; see Appendix B.

As expected, we find that all anomalies are cancelled through a GS mechanism. An important novelty occurs however for globally vanishing anomalies, that correspond to an anomaly polynomial $^6 I$ that vanishes when integrated over the orbifold: $\int I = 0$. In this case, the GS mechanism can be mediated not only by RR axions (or their dual 2-forms), as for globally non-vanishing anomalies, but also by KK modes of RR 4-

---

6We use here and in the following that standard characterization of anomalies in $D$ dimensions through a polynomial of curvatures with total degree $D + 2$. The anomaly itself is given by the Wess-Zumino descent of $I$ (see e.g. [22]).
forms. The occurrence of one or the other mechanism depends on the way the anomaly is factorized in terms of forms $X_n(F, R)$ of definite even degree $n$, constructed out of the gauge and gravitational curvature 2-forms $F$ and $R$. If it has the form $I \sim X_2 X_4$, the GS mechanism will be mediated by twisted RR axions, arising at the fixed-points where the anomaly is distributed. If it has instead the form $I \sim X_6$, the relevant fields are twisted RR 4-forms, arising at fixed-planes that contain all the fixed-points where the anomaly is distributed. Notice that localized irreducible anomalies are always of the second type, whereas mixed $U(1)$ anomalies can be of both types. As we shall now illustrate with simple and general examples, the fate of the symmetry suffering from a globally vanishing anomaly is radically different in the two alternative mechanisms.

Consider first anomalies of the type $I \sim X_2 X_4$. In this case, the relevant GS mechanism can be easily understood by distinguishing anomalous couplings localized at different points in the internal space. The qualitative novelty can be illustrated by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_9 :$</th>
<th>$Z_6 \times Z'_2$</th>
<th>$Z_3 \times Z'_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$G_5 = G_5 :$</td>
<td>$U(4)^2 \times U(8)$</td>
<td>$SO(8) \times U(8) \times U(4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 scalars</td>
<td>$(4, 4, 1), (4, 4, 1), (1, 1, 28), (1, 1, 28), (4, 1, 8), (1, 6, 1), (4, 4, 1), (4, 1, 8), (1, 4, 8)$</td>
<td>$2(8, 8, 1), 2(1, 28, 1), (8, 1, 4), (1, 1, 6)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 fermions</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$2(8, 1, 4), 2(1, 1, 6), (1, 8, 4), (1, 8, 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 chiral mult.</td>
<td>$(2, 2, 1), (2, 2, 1), (1, 1, 6), (1, 1, 6), (1, 1, 6), (1, 2, 4), (1, 2, 4), (1, 2, 4), (1, 2, 4)$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 chiral mult.</td>
<td>$(\overline{4}, 1, 1; \overline{2}, 1, 1), (1, 4, 1; 1, 2, 1), (4, 1, 1; 1, 1, 4), (1, 1, 8; 1, 2, 1)$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Massless open string spectrum for $Z_6' \times Z_2'$ and $Z_3 \times Z_3'$ models. In the 55 sector chiral multiplets in the representation of $G_5$ are reported. The matter content of the 55 sector is the same of the 55 sector but in conjugate representations of $G_5 = G_5$. In the 95 sectors chiral multiplets are present in representations of $G_9 \times G_5$ respectively. Again, the matter content in the 95 sector is obtained from that in the 95 sector by conjugation.
focusing on the case of a $U(1)$ gauge anomaly distributed at two distinct fixed points $z = z_{1,2}$, corresponding to a term of the type $I = X_2 X_4 |_{z_1} - X_2 X_4 |_{z_2}$ in the anomaly polynomial. This anomaly is cancelled through a GS mechanism mediated by two axions $C_0^{1,2}$, living at the two fixed-points $z = z_{1,2}$. The action is:

$$S_{GS} = \int d^4x \left[ \frac{1}{2} |dC_0^1 + X_1|^2 + C_0^1 X_4 \right]_{z_1} + \int d^4x \left[ \frac{1}{2} |dC_0^2 + X_1|^2 - C_0^2 X_4 \right]_{z_2},$$

where the 1-form $X_1$ denotes the $U(1)$ gauge field associated to the curvature 2-form $X_2$, such that $X_2 = dX_1$. The modified kinetic terms in (17) require that $\delta C_0^{1,2} = -X_0 (z_{1,2})$ under a 10D gauge transformation with parameter $X_0 (x, z)$, under which $\delta X_1 = dX_0$. The variation of the $X_4$ terms in (17) provide then the required inflow of anomaly that restores gauge invariance. The form of the action (17) is fixed by the requirement of having full 10D gauge invariance, and implies that the $U(1)$ field becomes massive, independently of whether the anomaly vanishes or not globally. Indeed, one can choose a gauge in which $C_0^1 = -C_0^2$, where the kinetic terms in (17) are diagonalized and mass terms for the 4D gauge field are generated. This fact has not been appreciated so far in the literature, where only integrated anomalies were studied.

Consider next the case of anomalies of the type $I \sim X_6$. A globally non-vanishing anomaly of this kind, associated to a global tadpole for a RR 4-form, would lead to an inconsistency, because it cannot be cancelled by a standard GS mechanism; indeed, the latter should be mediated by a RR 4-form, whose dual in 4D would however be a manifestly non-physical and meaningless (-2)-form [32]. If the anomaly is instead globally vanishing, and therefore associated to a local tadpole for a RR 4-form, the situation is different. The crucial observation is that this type of anomaly always appears in conjunction with twisted RR states living on fixed-planes rather than fixed-points in the internal space. Such states propagate in 6D rather than 4D, and this opens up new possibilities. In particular, a 4-form in this sector is dual to a 0-form, and not a (-2)-form, and can therefore mediate a GS mechanism. However, since the duality is 6D, internal derivatives will play a role and the corresponding states will thus be massive KK modes from the 4D point of view. The situation is most conveniently illustrated with a simple example consisting in an irreducible term in the anomaly polynomial of the form $I = X_6 |_{z_1} - X_6 |_{z_2}$, where the points $z_1$ and $z_2$ differ only in the fixed-plane direction. The relevant 6D action for the RR 4-form $C_4$ responsible for the inflow is:

$$S_{GS} = \int d^6x \left[ \frac{1}{2} |dC_4 + X_5|^2 + \int d^4x C_4 \right]_{z_1} - \int d^4x C_4 |_{z_2},$$

where $X_5$ is the Chern-Simons 5-form associated to $X_6$, such that $X_6 = dX_5$. The kinetic term in (18) requires $\delta C_4 = -X_4$ under a 10D gauge transformation,

---

As we will see, in our set-up the only gauge fields that can have anomalies localized at distinct fixed-points are those coming from $D9$-branes, but the mechanism is valid also in more general cases.
where $X_4$ is defined as usual from the gauge variation of $X_5$: $\delta X_5 = dX_4$. The variation of the second and third term in (18) provides then the required inflow of anomaly\textsuperscript{8}. Contrarily to the previous case, no $U(1)$ gauge factor is broken by (18). Since $C_4$ enters in (18) only through massive KK states, it is interesting to understand its effect in the 4D low-energy effective field theory. In order to do that, notice that the 2-form $\delta(z-z_1) - \delta(z-z_2)$ can be written locally as $d\eta(z)$ for some 1-form $\eta(z)$. Eq. (18) can then be interpreted as a 6D action with Lagrangian $L_{GS} = \frac{1}{2} |dC_4 + X_5|^2 - \eta dC_4$. We can now integrate out the massive modes of $C_4$ and evaluate their action on-shell. This is easily done by substituting back into the Lagrangian the equations of motion for $C_4$, that imply $dC_4 + X_5 = \ast \eta$ (where $\ast$ denotes the 6D Hodge operator); it yields $L_{GS}^{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2} |\eta|^2 + \eta X_5$. Finally, one finds therefore the local 6D Chern-Simons term

$$S_{GS}^{\text{eff}} = \int d^6 x \, \eta X_5. \quad (19)$$

Notice that this gives, at it should, the same gauge variation as the original action, since $\delta(\eta X_5) = \eta dX_4$, which gives $-d\eta X_4 = -(\delta(z-z_1) - \delta(z-z_2))X_4$ after integration by parts. Moreover, the discontinuous coefficient $\eta$ is achieved exactly as proposed in [25], the only difference being that the involved 4-form is a dynamical field in the full 10D theory, and behaves like an auxiliary field only in the 4D effective theory. Importantly, the results of [25] ensure that the term (19) is compatible with local supersymmetry at the fixed points.

Summarizing, it is clear that there is an important qualitative difference between anomalies that vanish globally and other that do not. From a purely 4D effective field theory point of view, the condition $\int I = 0$ on the anomaly polynomial $I$ guarantees that the corresponding anomaly can be cancelled through the addition of a local Chern-Simons counterterm with a discontinuous coefficient\textsuperscript{9}. In open string models, however, anomalies with $I \sim X_2 X_4$ lead always to a spontaneous symmetry breaking, and only those with $I \sim X_6$ are cancelled through a local counterterm. It would be interesting to understand whether there is some deeper physical principle determining this distinction, besides factorization properties.

All the above considerations apply qualitatively to any orientifold model. For 6D SUSY models, for instance, part of the GS mechanism is mediated by untwisted RR forms, and these can play the same role of 6D twisted sectors in 4D models. In particular, we have verified local anomaly cancellation in the SUSY 6D $\mathbb{Z}_2$ model

\textsuperscript{8}In short, localized irreducible 6-form terms in the 4D anomaly polynomial look like reducible terms in a 6D anomaly polynomial, given by the product of the 6-form term and a field-independent $\delta$-function 2-form.

\textsuperscript{9}For example, an orbifold field theory that is globally free of anomalies can be regulated in a gauge-invariant way by adding heavy Pauli-Villars fields with mass terms that also have a discontinuous coefficient; the appropriate Chern-Simons term is then automatically generated when integrating out the regulator [27, 33].
of \([34, 28]\). In the case of maximal unbroken gauge group with all \(D5\)-branes at a same fixed-point, irreducible \(\text{Tr } F_9^4\) and \(\text{Tr } R^4\) terms in the anomaly polynomial do not vanish locally and are indeed cancelled by a local GS mechanism similar to that described in (18), but mediated by untwisted RR 6-forms propagating in 10D. Again, from a 6D effective theory point of view, these amount to a local Chern-Simons term.

Let us now be more concrete and apply the general arguments outlined above to the \(Z'_6 \times Z'_2\) and \(Z_3 \times Z'_3\) models. We will begin with the \(Z_3 \times Z'_3\) model, which does not have irreducible anomalies at all, and then analyze the more complicated \(Z'_6 \times Z'_2\) model, where some are present. Fortunately, the techniques of [18] can be easily generalized to study the local structure of anomalies, and some details of the analysis are reported in Appendix B. It is convenient to define \(\delta_{abc}\) to be a 6D Dirac \(\delta\)-function in the internal orbifold, localized at the fixed-point with positions labelled respectively by \(a, b\) and \(c\) in the three \(T^2\)’s, as reported in Figures 1 and 3. We also define \(\delta_{ab} \cdots\) to be a 4D \(\delta\)-function in the internal space, localized at the fixed-planes with positions \(a\) and \(b\) in the first two \(T^2\)’s, and similarly for \(\delta_{a \cdots c}\) and \(\delta_{\cdots bc}\). Moreover, we will denote with \(F^\alpha_i\) the field strength of the \(i\)th factor of the gauge group, ordered as in Table 2, \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) in all cases, and with \(\text{“tr”}\) the traces in fundamental representations of the gauge groups.

### 4.1 \(Z_3 \times Z'_3\) model

The anomaly polynomial for the \(Z_3 \times Z'_3\) model is easily computed, and is encoded in eqs. (45) and (46). Its explicit form is given by \((\mu = 3^{-7/4})\):

\[
I = \mu^2 \sum_{a,b,c} \left[ \delta_{ab} \cdots X_2^9 (X_2^9 + 4Z_4) - \delta_{aa} \cdots Y_2^9 (Y_2^9 + 4Z_4) \right].
\]  
(20)

The quantities \(X_2^\alpha, Y_2^\alpha\) and \(Z_n\) are combinations of curvatures with total degree \(n\), and are obtained by expanding the topological charges of \(D\)-branes and fixed-points as defined in eqs. (42)–(44). Their explicit expressions are \((m_i = (1, 1, 0), n_i = (1, -1, 0), s_i = (1, -1, -1))\):

\[
\begin{align*}
X_2^9 &= -\sqrt{3} m_i \text{tr} F_i^\alpha, \\
Y_2^9 &= -\sqrt{3} n_i \text{tr} F_i^\alpha, \\
X_4^9 &= Y_4^9 = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ s_i \text{tr} F_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{12} \text{tr} R^2 \right], \\
Z_4 &= -\frac{1}{192} \text{tr} R^2.
\end{align*}
\]  
(21)

There are two anomalous combinations of \(U(1)\) factors, \(X_1^9\) and \(Y_1^9\), defined by \(X_2^9 = dX_1^9, Y_2^9 = dY_1^9\). These have opposite anomalies at the two types of fixed-points. This means that the combination \(X_1^9 - Y_1^9\) has true 4D anomalies, whereas \(X_1^9 + Y_1^9\) suffers only from a globally vanishing anomaly of the type corresponding to (17). One can easily verify that the integrated anomaly coincides with the contribution of the massless chiral fermions in the representations reported in Table 2.
The leading order couplings (arising from the disk and crosscap surfaces) that are responsible for the cancellation of these anomalies are easily obtained from the anomalous couplings for $D9$-branes and fixed-points, eqs. (47) and (48). One finds:

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mu \sum_{a,b,c} \delta_{a'b'c} \left[ -d\chi_{a'b'c} \cdot X_1^9 - \chi_{a'b'c}(X_4^9 + 4Z_4) \right] \]
\[ + \mu \sum_{a,b,c} \delta_{a''b'c} \left[ -d\chi_{a''b'c} \cdot Y_4^9 + \chi_{a''b'c}(Y_4^9 + 4Z_4) \right], \quad (23) \]

The first term in each raw corresponds to the cross term in a mixed kinetic term of the form (17) for the two axions.

**4.2 $Z_6' \times Z_2'$ model**

The complete anomaly polynomial of the $Z_6' \times Z_2'$ model is encoded in a compact form in eqs. (49) and (50), that also distinguish between the various $D$-brane sectors. It can be written explicitly as ($\mu = (12)^{-3/4}$):

\[ I = \mu^2 \sum_{c=1}^{3} \left\{ 2 \sum_{b=1}^{2} \delta_{1bc} \left[ X_2^5(-2X_4^5+X_4^9) + X_2^9X_4^5 + Y_2^5(Y_4^9+8Z_4) + Y_2^9Y_4^5 - 4Y_6^9 \right] \right. \]
\[ -2 \sum_{b=1}^{2} \delta_{1b'c'} \left[ X_2^5(-2X_4^5+X_4^9) + X_2^9X_4^5 + Y_2^5(Y_4^9+8Z_4) + Y_2^9Y_4^5 - 4Y_6^9 \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{b=1}^{4} \left( \delta_{1bc} - \delta_{1b'c'} \right) \left[ -X_2^9X_4^9 + 4Y_2^9Z_4 + 4Y_6^9 \right] \]. \quad (24) \]

in terms of the components of the charges (42)–(44), that read in this case ($p_i = (1,1,2)$, $q_i = (1,1,-2)$, $r_i = (1,-1,0)$):

\[ X_2^\alpha = -p_i \text{tr} F_i^\alpha, \quad Y_2^\alpha = -\sqrt{3} r_i \text{tr} F_i^\alpha, \quad (25) \]
\[ X_4^\alpha = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r_i \text{tr} F_i^{\alpha 2}, \quad Y_4^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ q_i \text{tr} F_i^{\alpha 2} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{48} \text{tr} R^2 \right], \quad Z_4 = -\frac{1}{192} \text{tr} R^2, \quad (26) \]
\[ Y_6^\alpha = -\frac{r_i}{2\sqrt{3}} \left[ \text{tr} F_i^{\alpha 3} + \frac{1}{36} \text{tr} F_i \text{tr} R^2 \right]. \quad (27) \]

When integrated over the internal space, eq. (24) is in agreement with the contribution of the massless chiral fermions in the representations listed in Table 2. There are however additional anomalies (of all types, including irreducible terms) that do not involve the gauge-fields associated to the $D5$ or $\bar{D}5$ branes, which are distributed with opposite signs at different fixed-points and are therefore not detectable in the four-dimensional effective theory. These anomalies are generated by KK modes of charged fields in the 99 sector. In total, there are 4 truly anomalous $U(1)$’s, two $U(1)$’s that have only localized anomalies, and localized irreducible anomalies.

\[ \text{In particular, it reproduces the results of [16] for gauge-anomalies, apart from irrelevant chirality conventions.} \]
The anomalous couplings for the two kinds of twisted axions $\chi$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ and the twisted 4-form $\tilde{c}$ are easily deduced from eqs. (51)–(53). Defining for convenience the combination of Kronecker $\delta$-functions $\delta_b = \delta_{b,1} + \delta_{b,2}$, one finds:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mu \sum_{c=1}^{3} \sum_{b=1}^{4} \delta_{abc} \left[ -d\chi_{abc} \cdot (X_1^9 - 2 \delta_b X_1^5) + \chi_{abc}(X_1^9 - 2 \delta_b X_1^5) \\
- d\tilde{\chi}_{abc} \cdot (2 \delta_b Y_5^5) + \chi_{abc}(4 Z_4 + 2 \delta_b Y_4^5) + \tilde{c}_{abc}(4 - 8 \delta_b) \right] \\
+ \mu \sum_{c=1}^{3} \sum_{b=1}^{4} \delta_{ab'c} \left[ -d\chi_{ab'c} (X_1^9 - 2 \delta_b X_1^5) - \chi_{ab'c}(X_1^9 - 2 \delta_b X_1^5) \\
+ d\tilde{\chi}_{ab'c} \cdot (2 \delta_b Y_1^5) - \chi_{ab'c}(4 Z_4 + 2 \delta_b Y_4^5) - \tilde{c}_{ab'c}(4 - 8 \delta_b) \right] \\
+ \mu \sum_{c=1}^{3} \delta_{abc} \left[ d\chi_{abc} Y_1^9 - \tilde{\chi}_{abc}(Y_4^9 + 8 Z_4) - d\tilde{c}_{abc} \cdot Y_5^9 \right].
\]

The terms relevant for the cancellation of localized irreducible anomalies are the last terms of each square bracket. The other terms are instead relevant for the cancellation of reducible $U(1)$ anomalies.

5 Discussion and conclusions

In this paper, two chiral 4D open string models with SS SUSY breaking have been constructed as geometric freely-acting orbifolds. In this setting, we derived the known $\mathbb{Z}_6' \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ model and constructed a new and very simple $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ model. Both are classically stable, since all massless NSNS and RR tadpoles vanish. The compactification backgrounds are non-SUSY deformations of usual Calabi-Yau orbifolds. In the $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ model, the deformation is induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_3'$ element, that is a diagonal translation in a torus together with a non-SUSY rotation along an other torus. This deformation is very similar to that giving rise to Melvin space-time backgrounds, where a generic rotation along a non-compact plane is performed together with a $2\pi R$ translation along a circle [35]\textsuperscript{11}. It would be interesting to better analyze this analogy. The quantum stability of both orientifolds remains an open question that deserves further analysis.

A detailed study of local anomaly cancellation in the two models has been performed. All pure gauge and mixed gauge-gravitational anomalies cancel, thanks to a generalized GS mechanism involving also twisted RR 4-forms, necessary to cancel localized irreducible six-form terms in the anomaly polynomial, that vanish only globally. The 4D remnant of this mechanism is a local Chern-Simons term. The local (and global) cancellation of reducible anomalies is instead ensured by twisted RR axions. In this latter case, even $U(1)$ gauge fields affected by anomalies vanishing only globally in 4D are spontaneously broken by the GS mechanism. Such $U(1)$ do not

\textsuperscript{11}See [36] for a discussion of $D$-branes on Melvin backgrounds.
appear in the corresponding SUSY $\mathbb{Z}_6'$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3$ 4D orientifolds. It would be interesting to understand in more generality under which conditions they can arise.

Although we have not performed any detailed analysis of local anomaly cancellation in closed string models, we believe that irreducible anomalies should be absent in that case, whereas reducible anomalies might present some new feature.
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A Lattice sums

We denote the two-dimensional lattice sum over the $i^{th}$ torus by:

$$\Lambda_i(\tau) = \sum_{n,m} \Lambda_i[m, n](\tau) = \sum_{m,n} q^{\frac{1}{2}|P_L^{(i)}|^2} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}|P_R^{(i)}|^2},$$

where $q = \exp[2i\pi \tau]$ and the lattice momenta are given by

$$P_L^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \text{Im} T_i \text{Im} U_i}} \left[ -m_1 U_i + m_2 + T_i \left(n_1 + n_2 U_i\right) \right],$$
$$P_R^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \text{Im} T_i \text{Im} U_i}} \left[ -m_1 U_i + m_2 + \bar{T}_i \left(n_1 + n_2 U_i\right) \right],$$

in terms of the standard dimensionless moduli $T_i$ and $U_i$, parametrizing respectively the Kähler and complex structure of the torus. We also define:

$$\Lambda_i[m] \equiv \Lambda_i[m, 0](it), \quad \Lambda_i[w] \equiv \Lambda_i[0, w](it),$$

and denote respectively by $\hat{\Lambda}_i[m]$ and $\hat{\Lambda}_i[w]$ the corresponding Poisson resummed lattice sums, where the dependence on the transformed modular parameter $l$ is understood.

In the following, we show in some detail how a translation affects the toroidal lattice sums defined over the annulus, Möbius strip and Klein bottle world-sheet surfaces. In the $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3'$ model, the translation acts diagonally on the torus, as in [5], whereas in the $\mathbb{Z}_6' \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ model it actually acts non-trivially only along a circle. The torus case has been already analyzed (see for instance [4, 5]).
**Annulus** It is convenient to define $\Lambda[N, D | g]$ to be the annulus lattice sum for Neumann (N) and Dirichlet (D) boundary conditions (b.c.) with the insertion of the operator $g$. The only non-trivial case to consider is when $g = I, \delta$. The relevant Poisson resummed lattice sums are found to be (omitting the index $i$ in $\hat{\Lambda}$):

$\Lambda[N | I] = \sum_m \hat{\Lambda}[m] W^{(i)}_m (W^{(j)}_m)^{-1}, \quad (32)$

$\Lambda[D | I] = \sum_w \hat{\Lambda}[w] W^{(i)}_w (W^{(j)}_w)^{-1}, \quad (33)$

$\Lambda[N | \delta] = \sum_m \hat{\Lambda}[m + \delta] W^{(i)}_m (W^{(j)}_m)^{-1}, \quad (34)$

$\Lambda[D | \delta] = 0, \quad (35)$

where $W^{(i)}_w$ encodes the position $X_i$ of the $i^{th}$ brane along the corresponding torus and $W^{(i)}_m$ is a generic Wilson line along the torus, parametrized by the $\theta_i$ phase factors:

$W^{(i)}_w = \exp[iw \cdot X_i/R], \quad W^{(i)}_m = \exp[im \cdot \theta_i]. \quad (36)$

The sum (35) vanishes because a translation has no fixed points and hence the operator $\delta$ is not diagonal on the states. The action of the translation in (34) produces a phase in the KK modes that, in the Poisson resummed lattice sums, gives a shift on $m$. Notice that $D$-branes couple to all KK and winding modes.

**Möbius strip** In this case, the N b.c. give lattice sums similar to those in the annulus, since $\Omega$ does not act on KK modes. For D b.c., the non-trivial cases are obtained when $g = R$ and $g = R\delta$, where $R$ and $\delta$ are respectively an order two rotation and translation on the torus (actually only on a circle). Indicating with $\Lambda[N, D | \Omega g]$ the Möbius strip lattice sum contribution, we therefore get:

$\Lambda[N | \Omega I] = \sum_m \hat{\Lambda}[2m] W^{(i)}_{2m}, \quad (37)$

$\Lambda[N | \Omega \delta] = \sum_m \hat{\Lambda}[2m + 2\delta] W^{(i)}_{2m}, \quad (38)$

$\Lambda[D | \Omega R] = \sum_w \hat{\Lambda}[2w] W^{(i)}_{2w}, \quad (39)$

$\Lambda[D | \Omega R\delta] = \sum_w e^{2\pi k \cdot w} \hat{\Lambda}[2w] W^{(i)}_{2w}. \quad (40)$

The fact that only even KK and winding mode appear in the above equations implies that $O$-planes couple only to even KK momenta and winding modes. Notice, moreover, that eq. (39) represents the interaction of a $D5$ or $\bar{D}5$-brane with $O5$-planes in the $R$-fixed points, i.e. $y = 0$ and $y = \pi R$ along the SS direction, whereas eq. (40) represents the interaction of a $D5$ or $\bar{D}5$-brane with the $O5$-planes (actually $\bar{O5}$-planes due to the $(-)^F$ action that comes together with $\delta$) located at the $R\delta$ fixed-points, i.e. $y = \pi R/2$ and $y = 3\pi R/2$ along the SS direction. Similarly, eqs. (37) and (38) represent respectively the $D9$ (or $\bar{D}9$) interactions with $O9$ and $\bar{O9}$-planes.
Klein bottle  Define $\Lambda_i[h|\Omega,g]$ to be the Klein bottle lattice sum in the $h$-twisted sector with the insertion of the operator $g$ in the trace. Since lattice sums can only appear for the usual untwisted sector or for sectors twisted by an order two translation, $h = I, \delta$, where $\delta$ is the translation. On the other hand, non-trivial lattice contributions are obtained when $g$ is a generic translation, as well as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ reflection $R$ (aside the identity). As in the analogue annulus case, the insertion of a translation gives rise to KK-dependent phases $\exp(2i\pi\delta \cdot m)$, whereas the order-two $\delta$-twisted sector presents half-integer winding modes for $\Lambda_i$. Therefore, the relevant Poisson resummed lattice sums are given by:

\[
\hat{\Lambda}[I|\Omega] = \sum_m \hat{\Lambda}[2m], \\
\hat{\Lambda}[I|\Omega,\delta] = \sum_m \hat{\Lambda}[2m + 2\delta], \\
\hat{\Lambda}[I|\Omega,R] = \hat{\Lambda}[I|\Omega,R\delta] = \sum_w \hat{\Lambda}[2w], \\
\hat{\Lambda}[\delta|\Omega] = \hat{\Lambda}[\delta|\Omega,\delta] = 0, \\
\hat{\Lambda}[\delta|\Omega,R] = \hat{\Lambda}[\delta|\Omega,R\delta] = \sum_w e^{2i\pi\delta\cdot w} \hat{\Lambda}[2w].
\] (41)

Notice that (41) confirms that $O$-planes couple only to even KK momenta or even winding modes, differently from $D$-branes.

B  Anomalous couplings

In this appendix, we discuss the computation of anomalies for the $\mathbb{Z}_6^\prime \times \mathbb{Z}_2^\prime$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3^\prime$ models, and the deduction of anomalous couplings by factorization. We proceed along the lines of [18]. We use a compact differential form notation where $C_{abc}^\pm$ denotes the formal sum/difference of a RR axion (0-form) $\chi_{abc}$ and its dual 2-form $b_{abc}$ arising at a generic fixed-point $P_{abc}$: $C_{abc}^\pm = \chi_{abc} \pm b_{abc}$. The inflows mediated by these fields can then be schematically written as $\langle C_{abc}^+ C_{abc}^- \rangle = \pm 1$. A similar notation is adopted also for twisted states associated to a fixed-plane, say $P_{ab\bullet}$, which consist in an axion and its dual 4-form in 6D, a 2-form and a 6-form. These fields occur both dimensionally reduced and integrated on the fixed-plane. We denote by $D_{ab\bullet}$ the formal sum of the original 0, 2-forms, $D_{ab\bullet} = \chi_{ab\bullet} + b_{ab\bullet}$, and by $\tilde{D}_{ab\bullet}$ the sum of the distinct 0, 2 and 4-forms obtained by integrating over the fixed plane the original 2, 4 and 6-forms: $\tilde{D}_{ab\bullet} = \tilde{\chi}_{ab\bullet} + \tilde{b}_{ab\bullet} + \tilde{c}_{ab\bullet}$. It is important to notice that the axion $\chi_{ab\bullet}$ in $D_{ab\bullet}$ is dual to the 2-form $\tilde{b}_{ab\bullet}$ in $\tilde{D}_{ab\bullet}$ from the 4D point of view, and vice versa the axion $\tilde{\chi}_{ab\bullet}$ in $\tilde{D}_{ab\bullet}$ is dual to the 2-form $b_{ab\bullet}$ in $D_{ab\bullet}$. In addition, the axion $\chi_{ab\bullet}$ in $D_{ab\bullet}$ is also dual to the 4-form $\tilde{c}_{ab\bullet}$ in $\tilde{D}_{ab\bullet}$ from the 6D point of view. The only non-vanishing inflows mediated by these fields can then be formally summarized in $\langle D_{ab\bullet} \tilde{D}_{ab\bullet} \rangle = 1$. 

23
This setting allows to understand the form of the anomalous couplings in sectors with fixed-planes, including those left unexplained in [18].

As for standard $D$-branes [22] and $O$-planes [31], it is very useful to define the following field-dependent topological charges for $D$-branes and fixed-points:

$$X^\alpha(F_\alpha, R) = \text{Tr} \left[ \Gamma^\alpha_X e^{iF_\alpha} \right] \sqrt{A(R)} \, ,$$

$$Y^\alpha(F_\alpha, R) = \text{Tr} \left[ \Gamma^\alpha_Y e^{iF_\alpha} \right] \sqrt{A(R)} \, ,$$

$$Z(R) = \sqrt{L(R/4)} \, .$$

The labels $X$ and $Y$ distinguish between the two different sectors contributing to the anomaly in each of the models under analysis. These charges must be intended as sums of components with growing degree $n$, that we shall denote with $X^\alpha_n$, $Y^\alpha_n$ and $Z_n$.

**$Z_3 \times Z'_3$ model** In the $Z_3 \times Z'_3$ model, $X$ refers to the $\theta \beta$ twisted sector, whereas $Y$ refers to the $\theta^2 \beta^2$ twisted sector, so that $\Gamma_X = \gamma \delta$ and $\Gamma_Y = \gamma \delta^2$. The anomaly polynomial is easily computed and is given by $I = I^9_A + I^9_M$, where

$$I^9_A = \frac{\mu^2}{2} \sum_{a,b,c} \left( \delta_{a'bc} X^9 X^9 - \delta_{a''bc} Y^9 Y^9 \right) \, ,$$

$$I^9_M = 2 \mu^2 \sum_{a,b,c} \left( \delta_{a'bc} X^9 Z - \delta_{a''bc} Y^9 Z \right) \, ,$$

are the contributions from the annulus and Möbius strip surfaces respectively, and $\mu = 3^{-7/4}$. The anomaly polynomial can be easily factorized, and yields the following anomalous couplings:

$$S_{D9} = \mu \int \sum_{a,b,c} \left( \delta_{a'bc} C_{a'bc}^- X^9 + \delta_{a''bc} C_{a''bc}^+ X^9 \right) \, ,$$

$$S_F = 4 \mu \int \sum_{a,b,c} \left( \delta_{a'bc} C_{a'bc}^- Z + \delta_{a''bc} C_{a''bc}^+ Z \right) \, .$$

**$Z'_6 \times Z'_2$ model** In the $Z'_6 \times Z'_2$ model, $X$ refers to the $\theta$ and $\theta \beta$ sectors, whereas $Y$ refers to the $\theta^2$ and $\theta^2 \beta$ sectors; $\Gamma_X$ is defined as $\gamma_9$ in the 9 sector and $\gamma_{16}$ in the 5 and 5 sectors, and $\Gamma_Y$ as $\gamma_9^2$ in the 9 sector and $\gamma_{16}^2$ in the 5 and 5 sectors. The anomaly is given by $I = \sum_{\alpha \beta} I^\alpha_{A} + \sum_{\alpha} I^\alpha_{M}$ in terms of the contributions from each sector of the annulus and Möbius strip, that are given by

$$I^\alpha_{A} = -\frac{\mu^2}{2} \sum_{b=1}^{3} \rho^{\alpha \beta} \left( \delta_{1bc} X^\alpha X^\beta - \delta_{1\alpha'c} X^\alpha X^\beta \right) \, ,$$

$$I^\alpha_{M} = 4 \mu^2 \sum_{b=1}^{3} \rho^{\alpha \alpha} \left( \delta_{1bc} X^\alpha Z - \delta_{1\alpha'c} X^\alpha Z \right) \, .$$
where $\mu = 12^{-3/4}$; for $\alpha\beta = 99, 55, 95, 59$, the coefficient $\rho^{\alpha\beta}$ is equal to 1, 4, 2, 2 and $n^{\alpha\beta}$ is 4, 2, 2, 2. Written in this form, the anomaly can be easily factorized, and one finds the following anomalous couplings:

\[
S_{D9} = \mu \sum_{c=1}^{3} \int \left[ \sum_{b=1}^{4} \left( \delta_{1bc} C_{1bc}^{+} X^{9} + \delta_{1\nu c} C_{1\nu c}^{-} X^{9} \right) - \sum_{a=1}^{3} \left( \delta_{a\nu c} D_{a\nu c} Y^{9} \right) \right],
\]

\[
S_{D5} = -2 \mu \sum_{c=1}^{3} \int \sum_{b=1}^{2} \left[ \delta_{1bc} \left( C_{1bc}^{+} X^{5} - \bar{D}_{1\nu c} Y^{5} \right) + \delta_{1\nu c} \left( C_{1\nu c}^{-} X^{5} + \bar{D}_{1\nu c} Y^{5} \right) \right],
\]

\[
S_{F} = 4 \mu \sum_{c=1}^{3} \int \left[ \sum_{b=1}^{4} \left( \delta_{1bc} \bar{D}_{1\nu c} Z - \delta_{1\nu c} \bar{D}_{1\nu c} Z \right) - 2 \sum_{a=1}^{3} \left( \delta_{a\nu c} D_{a\nu c} Z \right) \right].
\]
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